# Need to Assess HCV Resistance to DAAs: Is it Useful and When?

## **Stéphane Chevaliez**

French National Reference Center for Viral Hepatitis B, C and delta Department of Virology & INSERM U955 Henri Mondor Hospital University of Paris-Est Créteil, France



# **Patient Case**

Age/gender	54 years, male			
HCV diagnosed	2013			
Route of transmission	Injectable drugs			
Genotype	1a (VERSANT HCV Genotype 2.0)			
Fibrosis stage	Cirrhosis (Fibroscan 27 kPa)			
Complications	Child-Pugh A5			
Concomitant diseases	Obesity (BMI 30.2 kg/m2)			
Associated treatment	None			
HCV RNA levels	5.4 Log IU/mL (Abbott RealTime HCV)			
Previous HCV	pegIFN/RBV (relapse)			
treatment				



### Will you Prescribe an HCV Resistance Testing Prior to Treatment?

**1. Yes** 

**2.** No

# Systematic Resistance Testing Prior to First-Line Therapy is NOT Recommended

Pawlotsky JM., Gastroenterology 2016;151:70-86; EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C 2016., J Hepatol 2016, in press.

### No need to Perform Systematic HCV Resistance Testing at Baseline

#### **Clinical reasons**

In clinical trials and in the real-world setting, most patients achieve an SVR

Presence of RASs has limited impact on SVR except in some subgroups of patients (cirrhotic, treatment-experienced and genotypes 1a and 3)

Patients who failed to a first course of DAA therapy can be successfully retreated following guidelines

#### **Virological reasons**

No commercially standardized methods are available

### No need to Perform Systematic HCV Resistance Testing at Baseline

#### **Clinical reasons**

In clinical trials and in the real-world setting, most patients achieve an SVR

Presence of RASs has limited impact on SVR except in some subgroups of patients (cirrhotic, treatment-experienced and genotypes 1a and 3)

Patients who failed to a first course of DAA therapy can be successfully retreated following guidelines

#### **Virological reasons**

No commercially standardized methods are available

# In the Real-World, Most Patients Achieve an SVR

# Most Patients Achieve an SVR with All-oral DAAs (Genotype 1)



Terrault et al., Gastroenterology 2016;151(6):1131-1140.Tapper et al., J Viral Hepat 2017;24(1):22-27; Flisiak et al., Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;44(9):946-956; Backus et al., Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;44(4):400-10; Backus et al., Hepatology 2016;64(2):405-14; McCombs et al., EASL 2016;abstract LB510.

# SVR in Genotype 1 Patients Treated with SOF/LDV for 8 Weeks



Post hoc analysis: patients qualified = Treatment-naïve, no cirrhosis, HCV RNA ≤ 6 million IU/mL \*\* Per protocol analysis \*\*\* ITT analysis

# Presence of RASs Has Limited Impact on Antiviral Response

# Impact of RASs on Virological Responses (LOD≥15%)





# Impact of RASs on Virological Responses (LOD≥15%)

No RASs



#### PTV/r+OMB+DSV±RBV for 12-24 weeks

# Methods Available to Determine Resistance Profile

# **Tests Available in Europe?**

#### No commercially standardized test are available

Except for the detection of NS3 Q80K RAS (Polymorphism kit, Clonit srl, Milan)1

# Only homebrew population sequencing based methods for NS3pro, NS5A domain I and NS5B

#### NGS-based tests are currently in development Sentosa SQ HCV Genotyping Assay (VELA Diagnostics)2

#### **Features** Sentosa SQ HCV Genotyping Assay

#### HCV RNA level >1000 IU/mL Serum or plasma specimens accepted Time to obtain results

48 hours



# How Will you Treat?



1. Sofosbuvir + Ledipasvir ± RBV for 12/24 weeks

2. Sofosbuvir + Velpatasvir ± RBV for 12/24 weeks

3.Paritaprevir/r + Ombitasvir + Dasabuvir ± RBV for 12/24 weeks

4. Grazoprevir + Elbasvir ± RBV for 12/16 weeks

5. Sofosbuvir + Daclatasvir ± RBV for 12/24 weeks

EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C 2016., J Hepatol 2016, in press.

## Patient Case



## Patient Case



![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### M28T RAS Confer a High Level of Resistance to NS5A Inhibitors

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Selection of NS5A RAS in Patients Who Fail to Achieve an SVR

#### **Treatment Failures Were Associated with Selection of RASs**

	Populations	Treatment groups	Ν	Virologic Failure	NS5A RAS at failure
Ledipasvir1	G1 Rx-naïve and -exp.	SOF/LDV±RBV 8, 12 or 24 Wks	1952	37 (1.9%)	29 (78%)
Ombitasvir2	G1/4 Rx-naïve and -exp. G2 Rx-exp.	2D or 3D±RBV 8, 12 or 24 Wks	2652	82 (3.1%)	73 (89%)
Elbasvir3	G1/4/6 Rx-naïve and -exp.	GZR/ELB±RBV 12 Wks	1492	47 (3.1%)	42 (89%)
Velpatasvir4	G1/2/3/4/5/6 Rx- naïve and -exp	SOF/VEL±RBV 12 or 24 Wks	1623	35 (2.1%)	29 (83%)
Daclatasvir5	G1/2/3/4 Rx- naïve and -exp	SOF+DCV±RBV 8, 12, 16 or 24 Wks	616	33 (5.4%)	24 (73%)
Pibrentasvir 4	G1/2/3/4 Rx- naïve and -exp	GLE/PIB 8, 12 Wks	843	5 (0.6%)	

1ION-1, -2, -3

2PEARL-I, -II, -IV, AVIATOR, TURQUOISE-I, SAPPHIRE-II 3C-SUFFER, C-EDGE, C-SALVAGE, C-WORTHY 4ASTRAL-1, -2, -3, -4 5ALLY-2, -3, AI4444040 4ENDURANCE-1, -2, -3, -4

# Persistence of NS5A RASs in Patients Who Fail to Achieve an SVR

#### Long-term Persistence of NS5A RASs In G1-Patients who Failed to GZR-containing Regimens

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Days Post-Treatment** 

#### Will you Retreat this Patient?

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

1. Yes

**2.** No

### What is Recommended for Patients Who Fail DAA Therapy?

#### For patients who failed IFN-free DAA combination therapies, EASL and AASLD guidelines recommend the following:

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

AASLD/IDSA HCV Guidance Panel., Hepatology 2015;62(3):932-54; EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C J Hepatol 2016; Sep 12. pii: S0168-8278(16)30489-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.09.001.

# How Will you Retreat?

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

1. Sofosbuvir + Ledipasvir + RBV for 24 weeks

2. Sofosbuvir + Velpatasvir + RBV for 24 weeks

3.Sofosbuvir + Paritaprevir/r + Ombitasvir + Dasabuvir + RBV for 24 weeks

4. Sofosbuvir + Grazoprevir + Elbasvir + RBV for 16/24 weeks

5. Sofosbuvir + Simeprevir + RBV for 24 weeks

### SOF/SMV for 12 weeks in Patients Who Failed Prior DCV-based Therapy

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

### SOF/SMV for 12 weeks in Patients Who Failed Prior DCV-based Therapy

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

### SOF/SMV for 12 weeks in Patients Who Failed Prior DCV-based Therapy

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### 3D Combination plus SOF for 12-24 Weeks in Patients who Failed Prior DAA Regimen

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Poordad et al,. EASL 2016; Abstract 156.

#### GZR/ELB plus SOF and RBV for 16-24 Weeks in Patients who Failed Prior DAA Regimen

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

de Ledinghehn et al., AASLD 2016; Abst. LB-18

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

Second treatment received in January 2015

SOF + SMV + RBV for 24 weeks

In September 2015, SVR12 achieved

#### Patient is cured!

# Conclusions

Systematic HCV resistance testing prior to first-line treatment is NOT recommended

SVR rates are >90%, however some relapses can occur, especially when treatment is suboptimal

- Patients who failed to a first course of DAA therapy can be successfully retreated following guidelines
- Cure of infection does not mean cure of disease (cirrhotics), and these patients