Paris, January 14, 2020

# Natural history of NAFLD, NASH and HCC

Francesco Negro

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève

# DISCLAIMER

• Conflicts of interest: none

## **Global prevalence of major chronic liver disorders**



GROUND KE. Av Sp Environm Med 1982;53:14-8; GRANT LM & LISKER-MELMAN M. Ann Hepatol 2004;3:93-9; KLEINER DE, et al. Hepatology 2005;41:1313-21 WILLIAMS CD, et al. Gastroenterology 2011;140:124-31; VERNON G, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011;34:274-85; RINELLA ME. Hepatology 2011;54:1118-20 CHALASANI N, et al. Gastroenterology 2012;142:1592-609; RINELLA ME. JAMA 2015;313:2263-73; ESTES C, et al. Hepatology 2018;67:123-133 YOUNOSSI Z, et al. Hepatology 2016;64:1577-86; WHO Global Hepatitis Report 2017

#### NAFLD affects one quarter of the global population



#### **NAFLD - The dimension of the problem**



#### **NAFLD prevalence correlates with obesity**



HILDEN et al, 1977; GROUND et al, 1982; BELLENTANI et al, 2000; CLARK et al, 2001; RUHL et al, 2004 BROWNING et al, 2004; ANGELICO et al, 2005; HAMAGUSHI et al, 2005; JIMBA et al, 2005; LIN et al, 2005 FAN et al, 2005; ZELBER et al, 2006; ZHOU et al, 2007; FAN et al, 2007; TARGHER et al, 2007; LAZO et al, 2008

#### **Countries with the highest adult prevalence of overweight and obesity**

World Population: 7,505,257,673 and World Obesity Population: 774,000,000



YOUNOSSI Z. J Hepatol 2019;70:531-44 https://renewbariatrics.com/obesity-rank-by-countries

#### **Prevalence of obesity in Europe**

(most recent available data, The HEPAHEALTH I Project)



Female Male

# Proportion of patients with features of the metabolic syndrome among NAFLD vs. NASH cases

A meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes



## Trends in the prevalence of NAFLD by age



#### Prevalence of NAFLD by age, ethnicity and sex in the US (NHANES III, 1988-1994)

Increasing prevalence with age, 1.28 male to female ratio, increased prevalence in Mexican American

Say by Age	Non-Hispa	anic White	Non-Hispa	anic Black	Mexican	American	То	tal
Sex by Age	Prevalence	95% CI						
Men								
<30 years	8.3	5.4, 12.7	10.9	7.5, 15.8	15.6	10.9, 21.8	9.9	7.4, 13.2
30–<40 years	15.9	12.5, 20.0	12.5	10.0, 15.6	25.7	21.6, 30.4	16.1	13.3, 19.4
40–<50 years	22.2	17.5, 27.7	17.1	12.7, 22.5	36.2	31.1, 41.6	22.3	18.2, 27.0
50–<60 years	28.0	21.5, 35.6	17.4	10.9, 26.6	41.4	31.3, 52.2	29.3	23.9, 35.4
$\geq$ 60 years	28.1	24.4, 32.2	22.6	18.9, 26.8	33.4	27.6, 39.7	27.6	24.3, 31.3
Women								
<30 years	9.5	6.2, 14.2	12.0	8.7, 16.3	16.5	12.2, 22.0	10.6	7.9, 13.9
30–<40 years	11.1	8.4, 14.5	10.4	7.5, 14.1	23.2	18.6, 28.6	12.5	10.0, 15.6
40–<50 years	15.0	11.9, 18.7	14.3	9.8, 20.5	34.7	27.6, 42.6	16.1	13.4, 19.1
50-<60 years	20.5	16.7, 24.8	21.9	15.9, 29.4	35.7	25.6, 47.4	21.6	18.3, 25.3
$\geq$ 60 years	25.7	22.3, 29.5	23.9	19.7, 28.8	34.4	28.8, 40.4	25.4	22.4, 28.6

#### **Natural history of NAFLD**



Adapted from ANSTEE Q, et al. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;16:411-428

#### Prevalence and factors of significant liver stiffness likely associated with NAFLD in the general population

(n=3076, age 18-75, Barcelona metropolitan area)

#### After excluding HCV, HBV and alcohol, 3.3% of 2710 persons had TE values ≥ 9.0 kPa

		6.	8 kPa			8.	0 kPa			9.	0 kPa	
	OR	95%	6 CI	P value	OR	95%	6 CI	P value	OR	95%	6 CI	P value
Male sex	2.86	2.08	4.05	.000	2.74	1.87	4.03	.000	3.49	2.12	5.72	.000
AST and/or ALT >ULN <sup>a</sup>	2.18	1.44	3.29	.000	1.91	1.16	3.14	.011	3.27	1.87	5.72	.000
Abdominal obesity <sup>b</sup>	4.06	2.81	5.88	.000	4.95	3.02	8.11	.000	5.12	2.71	9.66	.000
Glucose ≥100 mg/dL	1.63	1.15	2.32	.030	2.02	1.30	3.15	.002	2.35	1.30	4.25	.004
Low HDL level <sup>c</sup>	1.46	1.04	2.05	.030	1.77	1.18	2.60	.006	1.66	1.00	2.77	.052
Triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL	1.60	1.15	2.22	.005	1.75	1.18	2.60	.006	1.29	0.78	2.13	.329
Type 2 diabetes	2.41	1.63	3.55	.000	2.07	1.32	3.25	.001	2.30	1.33	3.98	.003

NOTE. Logistic multivariate regression using dichotomized liver stiffness as a dependent variable. All variables mutually adjusted for age and sex.

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; OR, odds ratio; ULN, upper limit of normal.

<sup>a</sup>ULN was 40 U/L.

<sup>b</sup>Waist circumference  $\geq$ 102 cm in men or  $\geq$ 88 cm in women.

<sup>c</sup>HDL<40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women.

## **Disease activity and fibrosis progression of NAFLD**

Prospective longitudinal hospital-based cohort study (n=52, biopsies at baseline and after 36 months)



## **Disease activity and fibrosis progression of NAFLD**

Hospital-based cohort study on repeated liver biopsies

(n=108 patients with ≥2 biopsies, median interval 6.6 yrs [range 1.3-22.6] out of 448 patients)



Baseline disease	F	ollow-up disease	activity	
activity	Bland steatosis	Steatosis and mild inflammation	NASH	Total
Bland steatosis	7	6	4	17
Steatosis and mild inflammation	2	0	8	10
NASH	5	1	75	81
Total	14	7	87	108

Numbers in **bold** indicate progression of disease activity.

Baseline		Fol	low-up fib	rosis stage	e –	
fibrosis	Stage 0	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Total
Stage 0	16	4	1	2	0	23
Stage 1	6	7	3	11	2	29
Stage 2	1	7	11	11	3	33
Stage 3	0	2	4	9	8	23
Stage 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	23	20	19	33	13	108



Bland steatosis may progress to NASH (80% had diabetes)

No difference in the proportion with fibrosis progression between those with NAFL or NASH at index biopsy (37% vs. 43%, p=0.65)

MCPHERSON S, et al. J Hepatol 2015;62:1148-55

## Fibrosis, not NASH, predicts survival

Retrospective study, n=619 NAFLD, 1975-2005, US + Europe + Thailand, FU 12.6 yrs



Survival free of liver transplantation

Causes of death: cardiovascular 38%, cancer 19%, cirrhosis 8%, HCC 1%

Independent predictors : fibrosis, diabetes, smoke, no statins

ANGULO et al, Gastroenterology 2015

# **From NAFL to NASH + fibrosis/cirrhosis to HCC**



ANSTEE Q, et al. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;16:411-428

#### Incidence of HCC in NAFLD-related cirrhosis is lower than among HCV

(Cleveland Clinic, n=315 HCV and 195 NASH, referred for LT, median FU 3.2 yrs, IQR 1.7-5.7)



ASCHA MS, et al. 2010;51:1972-8

#### Non-viral-, non-alcohol-related HCC in Europe

(modelled data, 2016, The HEPAHEALTH I Project)



#### Age standardised liver disease-related mortality in Europe, by aetiology

(most recent year available, The HEPAHEALTH I Project)



#### The proportion of HCC attributable to NAFLD in Newcastle was 34.8% in 2010,

#### i.e. a tenfold increase compared to 2000



#### NAFLD-related HCC in patients undergoing liver resection (1995-2014)



#### NASH is the fastest growing cause of HCC in liver transplant candidates

(US SRTR, n = 26,121 HCC in 158,347 adult LT candidates, 2002-2016)



YOUNOSSI Z, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;17:748-755

### Trends for global overweight, obesity and severe obesity



http://blog.wcrf.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/WOGraph.png



# **Diabetes** in 2010

# **Diabetes** in 2025

## Modeling NAFLD disease burden for the period 2016–2030

A Markov model for China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, UK and the US



## Modeling NAFLD disease burden for the period 2016–2030

A Markov model for China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, UK and the US



#### NAFLD liver-related deaths will increase from 2016 to 2030 between 73% (Japan) and 182% (France)

#### **Proportion of HCC patients with (n=1203) or without (n=194) cirrhosis**

**Retrospective VA cohort diagnosed with HCC from 2005 to 2010** 



Patients with HCC and NAFLD or metabolic syndrome had >5-fold risk of having HCC in the absence of cirrhosis compared with patients with HCV-related HCC

## The trouble with non-cirrhotic, NAFLD-associated HCC

(Italian multicenter prospective observational study, n=656)

- As many as 53.8% of HCC occurred in the absence of cirrhosis
- Compared to HCV-HCC (n=611), NAFLD-HCC (n=145) were:
  - Younger (67.8 ± 9.0 versus 71.1 ± 9.5 years, p<.0001)</p>
  - Less frequently diagnosed during surveillance programs (47.7% vs. 63.3%)
  - Larger  $(4.1 \pm 2.6 \text{ cm vs. } 3.3 \pm 2.9 \text{ cm}, \text{ p=.003})$
  - Less frequently within Milan criteria (55.2% vs. 68.4%, p=.005)
  - Less frequently BCLC stage 0 (0% vs. 11.1%, p<.0001)</li>
  - More frequently showing infiltrative pattern (15.4% vs. 4.0%, p<.0001)</li>

#### However:

The overall rate of patients submitted to curative treatments (resection, LTx, percutaneous ablation) was similar in the two groups (45.5% versus 49.1% in HCV-HCC, p=NS)

# The risk of HCC progresses together with the progression of NAFLD grade and stage



## Features of NAFLD- vs. HCV-associated HCC

(US VA cohort, n= 1133 and Italian multicenter prospective observational study, n=656)

	US VA			Italy		
	NAFLD (n=120)	HCV (n=1013)	Р	NAFLD (n=145)	HCV (n=611)	р
Age	74.7	60.2	<.01	67.8	71.1	<.0001
Male	99%	100%	NS	79.3%	61.2%	<.0001
Diabetes	89.2%	32.9%	<.01	73.1%	24.9%	<.0001
BMI	na	na	na	29.1	27.6	NS
Hypertension	95.8%	69.9%	<.01	73.1%	37.1%	<.0001

MITTAL S, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13:594-601 PISCAGLIA F, et al. Hepatology 2016;63:827-38

#### **Obesity is a risk factor for HCC in cryptogenic and alcoholic cirrhosis**

(but not in HCV, HBV or autoimmune)

(n=19,271, of whom 5,358 obese; UNOS database 1991-2000)

	variate Alialy	sis of HCC inclue	ence
	OR	95% CI	P Value
Age	1.04	1.02-1.06	.0001
Sex (indicator female)			
Male	2.57	1.86-3.55	.0001
Race (indicator white)			
Black	0.59	0.25-1.32	NS
Hispanic	0.95	0.58-1.55	NS
Asian	3.55	2.14-5.19	.0001
Obesity*			
$BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	1.65	1.22-2.22	.002
Diabetes status	1.48	1.07-2.03	.007
Waiting time	1.02	1.01-1.03	.0001

Table 1 Multivariate Analysis of UCC Incidence



Fig. 1. Multiple regression analysis showing the ORs for obese (BMI, >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) versus lean (BMI, <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) patients with different liver diseases after controlling for age, sex, diabetes status, and waiting time. Obesity is an independent predictor only in alcoholic and cryptogenic cirrhosis. PBC, primary biliary cirrhosis; NS, not significant; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

#### **Obesity is a risk factor for HCC in cryptogenic and alcoholic cirrhosis**

(but not in HCV, HBV or autoimmune)

(n=19,271, of whom 5,358 obese; UNOS database 1991-2000)

	variate Alialy	sis of HCC inclue	ence
	OR	95% CI	P Value
Age	1.04	1.02-1.06	.0001
Sex (indicator female)			
Male	2.57	1.86-3.55	.0001
Race (indicator white)			
Black	0.59	0.25-1.32	NS
Hispanic	0.95	0.58-1.55	NS
Asian	3.55	2.14-5.19	.0001
Obesity*			
$BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	1.65	1.22-2.22	.002
Diabetes status	1.48	1.07-2.03	.007
Waiting time	1.02	1.01-1.03	.0001

Table 1 Multivariate Analysis of UCC Incidence



Fig. 1. Multiple regression analysis showing the ORs for obese (BMI, >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) versus lean (BMI, <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) patients with different liver diseases after controlling for age, sex, diabetes status, and waiting time. Obesity is an independent predictor only in alcoholic and cryptogenic cirrhosis. PBC, primary biliary cirrhosis; NS, not significant; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

#### **Diabetes is a risk factor for HCC in NAFLD-related cirrhosis**

#### N=354 cirrhoses who developed 30 HCC across a 47 months FU

	HR (95% CI)*	р
Age, per decade	1.8 (1.2 – 2.6)	<.01
Diabetes	4.2 (1.2 – 14.2)	.02
Serum albumin	0.48 (0.35 – 0.68)	<.001

\*BMI, hyperlipidemia and hypertension were not associated with HCC risk

#### N=6,630 UNOS registrants with NAFLD cirrhosis, who developed 291 HCC across a median FU of 21 months

	HR (95% CI)*	р
Age, per decade	1.71 (1.45 – 2.05)	<.001
Male sex	1.71 (1.35 – 2.16)	<.001
Diabetes	1.30 (1.02 – 1.66)	.03
Low serum albumin	0.67 (0.54 – 0.82)	<.001

\*BMI was not associated with HCC risk

# Hypothyroidism as a risk factor of HCC in NAFLD independent of age and diabetes





#### **Etiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma**

HCC of unknown etiology had a history of hypothyroidism: aOR 12.7 (95% CI 1.4-117.1) compared to HCV aOR 6.8 (95% CI 1.1-42.1) compared with all controls

**REDDY A, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007:118-23** 

#### **Case-control study, MD Anderson Cancer Center**

		Women		
Thyroid Variable	Case N = 121	Control N = 468	AOR ( 95% CI)‡	
Thyroid condition				
None	80	380	1 (reference)	
Hypothyroidism	33	60	2.8 (1.6-5.1)	
Hyperthyroidism	3	6	2.4 (0.5-12.1)	
Other thyroid conditions	5	22	1.0 (0.3-3.2)	
Hypothyroidism duratior	ı			
$\leq 2$	2	8	2.6 (0.5-14.5)	
3-10 years	10	20	2.6 (1.0-7.2)	
> 10 years	19	32	2.9 (1.3-6.3)	

OR adjusted for age, race, educational level, diabetes, smoking, alcohol consumption, HCV, HBV, and family history of cancer

## Factors suggesting genetic susceptibility in NAFLD

- Variability in response to obesity and insulin resistance
- Disorder clusters in family
- Liver enzyme levels are heritable
- Dallas Heart Study: prevalence varies among ethnic groups (Hispanics > Europeans > Africans)
- Lean NAFLD risk factors: ethnicity matters (Hispanics)
# The effect of *PNPLA3* genotype on NAFLD-related HCC risk is independent of its role in fibrosis progression

(n=100 NAFLD-HCC and 275 non-HCC controls; UK + Switzerland)

Variables	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
PNPLA3 rs738409 genotype	2.26 (1.23-4.14)	0.0082
Age	1.24 (1.17-1.32)	<0.0001
Sex (Male)	11.11 (4.17-33.33)	<0.0001
BMI	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	0.148
Diabetes	2.33 (0.93-5.81)	0.070
Cirrhosis	9.37 (3.82-23.00)	<0.0001

Additive model including age, gender, BMI, diabetes, and cirrhosis as covariates.

#### **Carriage of each G allele is associated with a doubling of HCC risk**

## **PNPLA3 rs738409 genotype and HCC (dominant model)**

#### A meta-analysis (9 studies, 2,937 patients)



#### **PNPLA3** associated with HCC risk only among ALD and NAFLD, not HCV

SINGAL AG et al, Am J Gastroenterol 2014;109:325-34

## NAFLD and genetics: the EASL position (2016)

- Carriers of *PNPLA3* I148M and *TM6SF2* E167K have an increased risk of fatty liver (not necessarily associated with insulin resistance) and NASH (*PNPLA3*)
- Genotyping may be considered in selected patients or in clinical studies, but it is not recommended routinely (**B2**)
- Although NAFLD is a risk factor for HCC (also at pre-cirrhotic stages), and the risk is further increased by the PNPLA3 rs738409 C>G polymorphism, no recommendation can be made at this stage on the timing of surveillance and its cost-effectiveness (B1)

https://easl.eu/publication/the-management-of-non-alcoholic-fatty-liver-disease-nafld/

### TM6SF2 ? MBOAT1 ? TERT promoter ?

DONGIOVANNI P, et al. Hepatology 2015;61:506-14; DONATI B, et al. Sci Rep 2017;7:4492 ZUCMAN-ROSSI J, et al. Gastroenterology 2015;149:1226-39

## **Pathogenesis of NAFLD-associated HCC**



## Regular exercise decreases liver tumors development in hepatocyte-specific PTEN-deficient mice independently of steatosis

- Hepatocyte-specific PTEN-deficient mice (AlbCrePten[flox/flox]), which spontaneously develop steatohepatitis and HCC
- Fed standard 10% fat diet
- Randomly divided into exercise or sedentary groups
- The exercise group ran on a motorized treadmill for 60 min/day, 5 days/week for 32 weeks

Regular exercise decreases liver tumors development in hepatocyte-specific PTEN-deficient mice independently of steatosis



Exercise reduced tumor (≥15mm<sup>3</sup>) number, size, total mass per liver and cell proliferation (by Ki-67) Exercise did not affect steatosis or the NAS

Exercise stimulated the phosphorylation of AMPK and its substrate raptor, which decreased the kinase activity of mTOR

#### Association between physical activity and risk of hepatobiliary cancers A multinational cohort study (EPIC)

(n=467,336 persons, median FU 14.9 years; 275 HCC, 93 intrahepatic BDC, 164 non-gallbladder extrahepatic BDC)

Total physical activity



Vigorous physical activity

## Association between physical activity and risk of hepatobiliary cancers A multinational cohort study (EPIC)

(n=467,336 persons, median FU 14.9 years; 275 HCC, 93 intrahepatic BDC, 164 non-gallbladder extrahepatic BDC)

- Total and vigorous physical activity were unrelated to both intrahepatic and non-gallbladder extrahepatic BDC
- Waist circumference explained about 40% and body mass index 30% of the overall association of total physical activity and HCC
- The risk was independent of other liver cancer risk factors
- The risk did not vary by age, gender, smoking status, body weight, and alcohol consumption

#### Physical activity and risk of liver cancer

## A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies and a bias analysis

14 prospective studies (n=6,440 liver cancers)



BAUMEISTER SE, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst 2019;111:1142-51

## Physical activity and HCC: some notes of caution

- Potential for uncontrolled (residual) confounding
- A modestly strong unobserved confounder, with a 1.99-fold increase of the risk of the outcome, would suffice to explain the mean HR of 0.75
- Individual studies rely on self-reported measures of physical activity
- Self-reported measures of activity may be affected by mood states, social desirability, or cognitive biases
- Type, duration, and intensity of physical activity vary across studies
- Objectively measured physical activity, possibly assessed at multiple time points, and adjustment for viral hepatitis status are needed

## **Excess calories vs. physical activity in HCC pathogenesis**



#### Pooled relative risk for HCC in diabetic patients treated with metformin

(A meta-analysis of 7 studies, n=191,223, HCC 3.40%)



Significantly reduced risk of HCC in metformin users *vs.* nonusers in diabetic patients (RR 0.24, 95% CI 0.13 - 0.46)

ZHANG H, et al. Scand J Gastroenterol 2013;48:78-87

#### **Chemopreventive effect of metformin on HCC in diabetic patients by incremental years**

Taiwan nationwide case-control study, n=97,430 HCC and 19,860 age-, gender- and physician visit date-matched controls

Diabetic patients ( $N = 47820$ )	ORs (95% CI)	p Value
Metformin use (each incremental year)	0.93 (0.91 to 0.94)	<0.0001
Age (each incremental year)	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	0.8437
Gender (male vs female)	1.03 (0.99 to 1.08)	0.1629
Hepatitis B	13.81 (12.61 to 15.13)	<0.0001
Hepatitis C	17.07 (15.57 to 18.71)	<0.0001
Liver cirrhosis	4.29 (3.61 to 5.10)	<0.0001
End stage renal failure	0.83 (0.77 to 0.89)	<0.0001
DM duration (each incremental year)	0.96 (0.95 to 0.96)	<0.0001
DM control (each incremental visit per year)	1.02 (1.02 to 1.03)	<0.0001
Other OHA agents use (each incremental year)	1.02 (1.01 to 1.04)	0.0052
Thiazolidinediones use (each incremental year)	0.91 (0.87 to 0.95)	<0.0001
Insulin use (each incremental year)	1.13 (1.10 to 1.16)	<0.0001

DM, diabetes mellitus; OHA, oral hypoglycaemic agent.

#### Each year of metformin use reduces the risk of HCC by 7%

#### The chemopreventive effect of statins on HCC is independent of diabetes and cirrhosis

Korea nationwide nested case-control study, n=1,642 HCC and 8,210 age-, sex and time of the FU

	With diabetes mellitus			Without diabetes mellitus				
Characteristics	Cases (n = 317)	Controls (n = 1,560)	Crude	Adjusted	Cases (n = 1,324)	Controls (n = 6,620)	Crude	Adjusted
	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI) <sup>*</sup>	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)**
Statin use								
Never use	278 (87.7)	1,054 (67.6)	1.00	1.00	1,252 (94.6)	5,937 (89.7)	1.00	1.00
Ever use	39 (12.3)	506 (32.4)	0.27 (0.19–0.39)	0.28 (0.17–0.46)	72 (5.4)	683 (10.3)	0.48 (0.38–0.63)	0.53 (0.39–0.73)

	With liver cirrhosis				Without liver cirrhosis			
Characteristics	Cases (n = 513)	Controls (n = 1,574)	Crude	Adjusted	Cases (n = 513)	Controls (n = 1,574)	Crude	Adjusted
	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)*	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)*
Statin use								
Never use	480 (93.6)	1,318 (83.7)	1.00	1.00	1,004 (93.1)	4,704 (87.2)	1.00	1.00
Ever use	33 (6.4)	256 (16.3)	0.34 (0.22–0.50)	0.39 (0.26–0.60)	75 (7.0)	691 (12.8)	0.49 (0.38–0.63)	0.42 (0.32–0.57)

#### The beneficial effect of statins was dose-dependent

## **Take-home messages**

- NAFLD is the most prevalent chronic liver disorder worldwide
- Due to the increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome and aging of the population, NAFLD prevalence and complications (including HCC) are projected to increase
- As many as 40 to 50% of HCC associated with NAFLD occur in noncirrhotic livers
- The most important risk factors for HCC in NAFLD are metabolic
- Lifestyle modifications are currently the most effective measures to reduce the risk of HCC in NAFLD

