Wrap-Up Day 2

Norah Terrault, MD Professor of Medicine Chief, Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases University of Southern California

Keck Medical Center of USC Keck Medicine of USC NASH HCC Cholestatic liver disease Cirrhosis complications Liver Imaging





Prof Massimo Pinzani: PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF NAFLD AND NASH

Metabolic Defects Leading to Steatosis



Postic and Girard, J

NASH Fibrosis: Stage-dependent Mechanisms



Fibrotic Evolution of NASH



Oxidative stress \rightarrow fibrosis (without inflammatory response)

PNPLA3 (148M) SNP promotes the activation of human HSC through a dysregulated oxidative stress response



Cytoglobin B: HSC quiescence marker

VARS2: a mitochondrial enzyme involved in fatty acid metabolism

GSTT1, a Glutathione-S-Transferase



Prof Francesco Negro: Natural History of NASH and HCC

NAFLD is the most prevalent chronic liver disorder worldwide: 25% of the global population

 \gg Due to the increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome and aging of the population, NAFLD prevalence and complications (including HCC) are projected to increase – 10-fold increase in past 10-15 years

Solution Section S

Fibrosis, not NASH, predicts survival

Retrospective study, n=619 NARLD, 1975-2005, US + Europe + Thailand, FU 12.6 yrs

The proportion of HCC attributable to NAFLD: a tenfold increase compared to 2000





DYSON J, et al. J Hepatol 2014;60:110-

HCC in NAFLD/NASH

\gg Recognition of non-cirrhotic NAFLD-associated HCC

> 53.8% of HCC occurred in the absence of cirrhosis

\succ The most important risk factors for HCC in NAFLD are metabolic

≻Obesity

>Diabetes: 2-4 fold higher

Hypothyroidism

 \gg PNPLA3 genotype: Carriage of each G allele \rightarrow doubling of HCC risk

>Lifestyle modifications are currently the most effective measures to reduce the risk of HCC in NAFLD—exercise \rightarrow 25% reduction in risk

 \succ Chemoprevention? metformin, statins



Prof Laurent Castera: Non-Invasive Markers of NAFLD

- Availability, cost, applicability and context of use are critical issues when using non-invasive tests
- VCTE, FIB-4, and NAFLD fibrosis score are the most widely used and best validated tests
- The optimal way to identify F3-F4 NAFLD patients is the sequential use of FIB-4/NFS then VCTE to select those who should be considered for LB (versus combination)
- Effective pathways of referral from primary care and/or diabetes clinics to liver clinics needed given disease burden

Patients in Primary Health Care

1st line: General practitioner







Prof Pierre Bedossa Improving Histologic Score for NASH

- Liver biopsy is and will stay the gold standard for diagnosis of NASH for a while
- Classical definition of histogical NAFLD needs to evolve toward a more adaptative and linear classification (just like other chronic liver diseases)
 - Fibrosis score needs to be improved with more granularity in advanced stages
 - Activity score (NAS) is not prognosis and poorly reproducible : a need for a more efficient grading system, not including steatosis
- Any new scoring proposal should be validated regarding interobserver variability and clinical outcome

NASHCR N	EPOS	Comments
1a		Aggregate because:
1b	1	- Poor reproducibility, Sampling error
1c		- No clinical relevance
2	2	Changing definition : - Introducing perisinusoidal fibrosis central or portal fibrosis + lobular fibrosis or portal + central fibrosis
	3	Increase granularity: - Few septa (no more than 2 /10mm length of biopsy) - Many septa (> 2) without nodule
	· · · ·	Increase granularity:
	5	- Many septa with few nodules
4	6	- Annular fibrosis with complete nodulation



Prof Ana Carolina Cardoso: Current Management of NASH NASH is part of a multi-system disorder



Weight loss results in improvement in liver and other affected organs

NASH Management Weight loss is the cornerstone of the treatment

>10% weight loss

7-10% weight loss

Exercise

(even in

absence of

weight

loss)

3-5% weight loss

NASH remission (90%) and fibrosis (45%)⁴

↓ of NASH score parameters (72%)^{1,3}

 \downarrow or remission of steatosis (64%)^{1,2}

Diet Mediterranean? Appetite suppressant therapy Bariatric Surgery Selectively ✓ Vitamin E
 ✓ Pioglitazone
 Metformin
 Liraglutide
 Statins



Prof Sanyal: Current Pharmacological Treatments in Development for NASH





Duodenal Mucosal Resurfacing

A novel, minimally invasive, outpatient, upper endoscopic procedure



- Revita[®] DMR catheter is designed to perform submucosal lift and hydrothermal ablation of hyperplastic duodenal mucosa, promote healthy epithelial regrowth within 12 weeks, and reduce insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia^{1,2}
- Revita II: Phase 2A POC study showed 60% of subjects had 30% or more defatting of liver
- A1C decreased by 0.8 vs 0.3 (DMR vs sham)

1. Hadefi A et al., Dig Dis. 2018;36:322-324. 2. Rajagopalan H et al., Diabetes Care. 2016. 3. Cherrington A et al., Gastrointest Endoscopy Clin N Am. 2017;27:299-311. 4. Va San Ant et al., Gastrointest Endoscopy Clin N Am. 2017;27:299-311. 4. Va San Ant et al., GB2, PiAASL-D12018949. 5. Haidry R et al., GIE. 2019; 673 - 681.e2. 6. van Baar ACG et al., DTM 2019 poster VAN 19122D. REVITA-2 NCT02879383 DMR = duodenal mucosal resurfacing; NAFLD = nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH = nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; T2D = type 2 diabetes.



NASH management paradigm in next decade





Prof Manuel Romero-Gomez: Nutrition and NASH

- Dietary modifications are effective in NAFLD
- Nutritional geometry can be an excellent tool to study the relationships between the various aspects of diet and NAFLD pathophysiology
- Future algorithms developed by artificial intelligence for personalized nutritional counselling to prevent and treat NAFLD



Nutrition: The Good and the Bad







Review

Evaluation of Dietary Approaches for the Treatment of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review

Naba Saeed ¹, Brian Nadeau ¹, Carol Shannon ² and Monica Tincopa ^{1,*}

REVIEW ARTICLE

Dan L. Longo, M.D., Editor

Effects of Intermittent Fasting on Health, Aging, and Disease

Rafael de Cabo, Ph.D., and Mark P. Mattson, Ph.D.





Prof Pierre Nahon: Optimal management of HCC today (and tomorrow)



Review



Percutaneous treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: State of the art and innovations

Jean-Charles Nault^{1,2,3,*,†}, Olivier Sutter⁴, Pierre Nahon^{1,2,3}, Nathalie Ganne-Carrié^{1,2,3}, Olivier Séror^{2,3,4,*}



Several trials in adjuvant setting, with immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients high risk of recurrence after curative treatment of HCC

Phase III Trial	Experimental Arm	Control Arm	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Planned participant recruitment
ChekMate 9 DX (NCT03383458)	Nivolumab	Placebo	Recurrence-free survival	Overall survival Time to recurrence	530
KEYNOTE-937 (NCT03867084)	Pembrolizumab	Placebo	Recurrence-free survival overall survival	Adverse event QoL	950
EMRALD 2 (NCT03847428)	Durvalumab Bevacizumab	Placebo	Recurrence-free survival	Overall survival Time to recurrence	888
IMbrave050 (NCT04102098)	Atezolizumab Bevacizumab	Active surveillance	Recurrence-free survival	Overall survival Time to recurrence	662

Tumour Genomics Expected to Aid in Decision-making in the Future



Important role of biobanking to help in this effort

Simon R, Nature Reviews 2013



Prof Norah Terrault Liver Transplantation for HCC



7.2% (1,300)

0.7% (127)

7.1% (1,284)

3.7% (663)

9.7% (1,751)

Pl era

■HCV-HCC ■HBV-HCC ■EtOH-HCC ■NASH-HCC □Others-HCC

7.6% (1,696

0.3% (78)

.9% (1.323

3.9% (879) 9.5% (2.135

IFN /RBV era

7.9% (1,591)

1.1% (221)

7.7% (1,550

3.2% (654)

9.4% (1,886)

DAA era

HCV is most common underlying cause NAFLD increasing

■NASH-HCC □Others-HCC

Puigvehi M, Am J Transplant 2020;20:220-230 Belli L, J Hepatol 2018; 69(4):810-817

Milan to Beyond Milan Criteria

- Size and number define Milan criteria
- Additional benefit in adding:
 - AFP
 - Response to LRT
- Modest expansion of Milan criteria can achieve acceptable posttransplant outcomes
 - Downstaging to Milan criteria is goal
 - Drop-out on wait-list higher for those outside Mc



Recurrent HCC in Liver Transplant Recipients

Recurrence in ~15-20%, mostly within first 2 years

Systematic review: 1021 recipients

Treatment	total # patients	Median survival (mos) ± SD (weighted)	# studies
Resection	27	42 ± 24.5	6
LRT (TACE)	40	11.2 ± 8.81	6
Sorafenib	76	12.1 ± 9.95	7
Sorafenib + mTOR	68	18.2 ± 6.53	5
Systemic chemotherapy	35	5.79 ± 2.7	2
Support care	54	3.3 ± 2.12	4



Prof Bruno Sangro: Immunotherapy for HCC -When and How

- Immunotherapy through ICPIs is emerging as a key therapy for patients with HCC → produces durable and clinically relevant responses with few side effects.
- Combination of Atezolizumab and Bevacizumab may become the standard of care for 1L therapy (and this will impact downstream options).
- Single agents and combinations of ICPIs with other ICPIs, VEGF inhibitors or multi-TKIs are being tested in across tumor stages.
- Combinations of ICPIs with VEGF inhibitors or multi-TKIs carry significant toxicities in cirrhotic patients that demand a specific work-up for diagnosis and management.



Annals of Oncology 29 (Supplement 4): iv238–iv255, 2018 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy308

Systemic Therapy of HCC

ATEZOLIZUMAB + BEVACIZUMAB

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Hepatocellular carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up[†]

A. Vogel¹, A. Cervantes², I. Chau³, B. Daniele⁴, J. M. Llovet^{5,6,7}, T. Meyer^{8,9}, J.-C. Nault¹⁰, U. Neumann¹¹, J. Ricke¹², B. Sangro¹³, P. Schirmacher¹⁴, C. Verslype¹⁵, C. J. Zech¹⁶, D. Arnold¹⁷ & E. Martinelli¹⁸, on behalf of the ESMO Guidelines Committee^{*}





Amsterdam UMC

Prof. Ulrich Beuers: Cholestatic Liver Diseases and Cholangiocarcinoma

Management of Cholestatic Liver Diseases 2020



EASL CP Guidelines Cholestasis, J Hepatol 2009;51:237 EASL CP Guidelines PBC. J Hepatol 2017; 67:145-172

Primary biliary cholangitis:

Potentially new Therapy







Prof Adrian Gadano: What is New in Portal Hypertension?



- Cirrhosis should be managed in two distinct clinical stages, compensated and decompensated, defined by the presence or absence of overt clinical complications (ascites, VH, and HE).
- The identification of patients with cirrhosis and clinically significant portal hypertension (CSPH) is extremely important. Non invasive tests will probably be of great help as diagnostic tools

What's New in the Diagnosis of Portal Hypertension ?

Liver Stiffness Measurement (LSM) by Transient Elastography:

LSM \geq 21 kPa rules in CSPH

- Ability to identify the presence of CSPH

LSM < 20 kPa and a platelet count > 150.000/mm³ very unlikely to have high risk varices \rightarrow 21 % EGDs could be avoided...

- Ability to rule out the presence of high-risk varices

de Franchis R and Baveno VI Faculty. J Hepatol 2015

What's New in the Management of Portal Hypertension ?

- After an episode of acute variceal bleeding in patients at high risk of failure or rebleeding, an "early" (pre-emptive) TIPS within 72 hours from EGD/EBL may benefit selected patients → Refined selection criteria: CP 10-13?
- Anticoagulation may improve intrahepatic microcirculation from a theoretical point of view but its clinical impact still needs to be demonstrated

 not ready for prime time (Rivaroxaban trial results expected this year)
- Statins have shown to lower the incidence of decompensation and mortality in different populations of patients with cirrhosis → not enough data yet?

Early Therapy with NSBB in Patients with Compensated Cirrhosis with CSPH The PREDESCI Study

- Cooperative, multicenter, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial
- Population studied: compensated <u>cirrhotics</u> with HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg (CSPH), without high risk varices or previous decompensation (n=201)

Acute HVPG response to iv Propranolol*:

non-responders -> Carvedilol

• Primary endpoint: Decompensation (ascites, bleeding or encephalopathy) or death.

0.15 mg/Kg IV; Acute Responders: ↓ HVPG ≥ 10% of baseline

Villanueva et al, Lancet 2019

Propranolol/Carvedilol Prevents Decompensation of Cirrhosis The PREDESCI Study



New recommendation may be anticipated....



Prof Marika Rudler: Optimal Management of Ascites

- Ascites: poor prognosis
 - TIPS should be discussed « early » in the course of ascites
 - Discussion TIPS/LT at the same time
- Non-refractory ascites
 - Albumin infusions for non-refractory ascites offers benefit
 - TIPS (covered stents) consider earlier (non-refractory)
- Refractory ascites careful selection (and LT backup)

Non-Refractory Ascites Albumin Infusions: ANSWER study

40g twice a week for two weeks and then 40g weekly in patients treated with diuretics



Caraceni et al.

The Lancet 2018

TIPS for Refractory Ascites: how to select the patients

- Reasonable option in carefully selected patients
- Concurrent consideration of LT
 - ✓ BiliT < 50 µmol/L
 - ✓ Plt > 75G/L
 - ✓ Child-Pugh score <13, MELD score<19
 - \checkmark No chronic HE, < 2 previous episodes of HE
 - ✓ No infection (delay)
 - ✓ BNP< 40 Nt-pro BNP<125 and normal echocardiography
 - ✓ No pulmonary hypertension

Good liver function

Refractory ascites: Alfapump®



- Decreased frequency of LVP
- Improved HRQOL and nutritional status

Caveats:

- Learning curve
- Costs



Prof Francois Durand: Acute on Chronic Liver Failure

- ACLF is defined as:
 - Underlying cirrhosis
 - Occurrence of organ / system
 failure
- Precipitants: infection, drug toxicity, surgery

Organs failure:

- Liver failure: bilirubin ≥ 200 µmol/L
- Kidney failure: creatinine ≥ 180 µmol/L
- Coagulation failure: INR ≥ 2.5 and/or platelets ≤ 20*10⁹/L
- Circulatory failure: use of vasopressors
- Cerebral failure: grade III or IV encephalopathy
- Respiratory failure: PaO2/FiO2 ≤ 200

High mortality rate: 28-day mortality > 15%



Prof Valerie Vilgrain: Advances in Imagery for Liver Tumors

- Liver imaging is improving in many ways: diagnosis and characterization
- New questions emerging on screening imaging of liver malignancies
- Quantitative imaging benefits from mathematics and artificial intelligence