## **Paris Hepatology Meeting 2021**

### **Clinical management of cholangiocarcinoma**

Paris March 10, 2021

Ulrich Beuers Otto van Delden, Heinz-Josef Klümpen, Bart Takkenberg, Joanne Verheij, Thomas van Gulik

Departments of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Radiology, Oncology, Pathology and Surgery Tytgat Institute for Liver and Intestinal Research Amsterdam University Medical Centers Amsterdam The Netherlands



### Cholangiocarcinoma Challenges

- 2<sup>nd</sup> most common primary hepatic malignancy (~15%)
- Increasing incidence globally (highest in Asia)



## Cholangiocarcinoma

#### Incidence



### Cholangiocarcinoma Challenges

- 2<sup>nd</sup> most common primary hepatic malignancy (~15%)
- Increasing incidence globally (highest in Asia)
- High heterogeneity



## Cells of origin in cholangiocarcinoma



Rizvi & Gores, Gastroenterology 2013;145:1215

### Localization of cholangiocarcinoma



### Cholangiocarcinoma Challenges

- 2<sup>nd</sup> most common primary hepatic malignancy (~15%)
- Increasing incidence globally (highest in Asia)
- High heterogeneity
- Silent growth  $\rightarrow$  detection mostly at advanced stage
- **Poor prognosis** (5-yr survival 7-20%)



### Cholangiocarcinoma Challenges

- 2<sup>nd</sup> most common primary hepatic malignancy (~15%)
- Increasing incidence globally (highest in Asia)
- High heterogeneity
- Silent growth, detection mostly at advanced stage
- Poor prognosis (5-yr survival 7-20%)
- Multiple risk factors, but most CCAs (~80%) develop spontaneously



## Cholangiocarcinoma

#### **Risk factors**

	Risk factor	Study type	OR or RR from selected studies	
!	Choledochal cyst <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 26.71 for iCCA OR 34.94 for eCCA	
!	Choledocholithiasis <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 10.08 for iCCA OR 18.58 for eCCA	
	Cholelithiasis <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 3.38 for iCCA OR 5.92 for eCCA	
	Cholecystolithiasis <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 1.75 for iCCA OR 2.94 for eCCA	
!	Caroli disease <sup>396</sup>	Population-based study	OR 38 for iCCA OR 97 for eCCA	
!	Primary sclerosing cholangitis <sup>396</sup>	Population-based study	OR 22 for iCCA OR 41 for eCCA	
	Cirrhosis <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 15.32 for iCCA OR 3.82 for eCCA	
	Chronic hepatitis B <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 4.57 for iCCA OR 2.11 for eCCA	
Banales et al	Chronic hepatitis C <sup>30</sup> Nat Rev Gastroent & Hepatol 2020;17:557	Meta-analysis	OR 4.28 for iCCA OR 1.98 for eCCA OR 1.98 for eCCA	rdam UMC

### Cholangiocarcinoma Risk factors

### Chronic inflammation of the biliary epithelium



# Cholangiocarcinoma

#### **Risk factors**

Risk factor	Study type	OR or RR from selected studies
Haemochromatosis <sup>396</sup>	Population-based study	OR 2.1 for iCCA
Inflammatory bowel disease <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 2.68 for iCCA OR 2.37 for eCCA
Chronic pancreatitis <sup>396</sup>	Population-based study	OR 2.7 for iCCA OR 6.6 for eCCA
Liver fluke (Opisthorchis viverrini, Clonorchis sinensis)397	Meta-analysis	OR5 iCCA>eCCA
Type 2 diabetes mellitus <sup>398</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 1.73 for iCCA OR 1.5 for eCCA
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease <sup>399</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 2.2 for iCCA OR 1.5 for eCCA
Obesity <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 1.14 for iCCA OR 1.2 for eCCA
Hypertension <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 1.10 for iCCA OR 1.21 for eCCA
Alcohol consumption <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 3.15 for iCCA OR 1.75 for eCCA
Cigarette smoking <sup>30</sup>	Meta-analysis	OR 1.25 for iCCA OR 1.69 for eCCA MAInste

Banales et a

# Cholangiocarcinoma

**Diagnosis** 

• Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late



- Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late
- CT is the standard imaging method for CCA





- Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late
- CT is the standard imaging method for CCA
- MRI shows similar accuracy and may be of added value





- Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late
- CT is the standard imaging method for CCA
- MRI shows similar accuracy and may be of added value (e.g. MRCP)
- 18F- FDG PET has no evidence-based additional diagnostic value



- Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late
- CT is the standard imaging method for CCA
- MRI shows similar accuracy and may be of added value by e.g. MRCP
- <sup>18</sup>F- FDG PET has no evidence-based additional diagnostic value
- Histopathological / cytological analysis is mandatory for CCA

(e.g. by brush cytology, intraductal biopsy)



## Cells of origin in cholangiocarcinoma



Banales et al. Nat Rev Gastroent & Hepatol 2020;17:557

Conventional iCCA

Amsterdam UMC

- Clinical signs and symptoms often appear (too) late
- CT is the standard imaging method for CCA
- MRI shows similar accuracy and may be of added value by e.g. MRCP
- 18F- FDG PET has no evidence-based additional diagnostic value
- Histopathological / cytological analysis is mandatory for CCA (e.g. by brush cytology, intraductal biopsy)
- Future approaches: e.g. biliary miRNAs, serum CYFRA 21-1, osteopontin...









- iCCA: (extended) hemihepatectomy, segmental resection
- pCCA: (extended) hemihepatectomy
- dCCA: pancreatoduodenectomy (Whipple's procedure)



## Cholangiocarcinoma

**Criteria of resectability** 

- No (extra)hepatic metastases
- Lymph node metastases confined to hepatoduodenal ligament (N1)
- Possibility of achieving free ductal margins on future remnant liver
- Involvement of portal vein bifurcation possible
- Involvement of hepatic artery branch to future remnant liver : ?
- Volume of future remnant liver > 35-40%



## **Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma**

**Staging and Resectability** 



## IgG4-related cholangitis mimics CCA

#### How to distinguish benign and malignant biliary stenosis?



Cholangiographic appearance mimicking cholangiocarcinoma (**CCA**)

### Misdiagnosis is common!

Hubers & Beuers, Viszeralmedizin 2015;31:185



### Cholangiocarcinoma mimicking lesion – a problem?

AMC 1984–2015: 323 resections under suspicion of cholangiocarcinoma

50 (15%) : benign

- 21/50 : IgG4-related cholangitis
- 29/50 : fibrosing cholangitis (undefined)



### Preoperative biliary drainage (PBD) in resectable CCA?



pCCA

YES, at least when •cholangitis •<50% future liver remnant volume

CholangitisImproved liver remnantPancreatitisfunction and regeneration

#### 90-day mortality after PBD

ERC vs. PTC 3/27 11/27

 $\rightarrow$  Endoscopic route prefered



### Preoperative biliary drainage (PBD) in resectable CCA?



<u>90-day</u>	/ mortal	<u>ity after PBD</u>	
ERC 3/27	VS.	PTC 11/27	
$\rightarrow$ Enc	loscopi	c route prefered	t

Coelen et al. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;3:681

		i DD group		
Variable	(N=94)	(N=102)	RR	95% c.i.
Overall complications - n (%)*	37 (39)	76 (75)	0.53	0.40 - 0.70
PBD complications - n (%)	2 (2)	48 (47)	NA	
Surgery complications - n (%)	35 (37)	48 (47)	0.79	0.57 - 1.11
Other complications (not prot.) - n (%)	30 (32)	25 (25)	1.30	0.83 - 2.04
Mortality	4 (4)	9 <i>(</i> 9)	0.48	0.15 - 1.51
Hospital readmisson - n (%)*	11 <i>(12</i> )	34 (33)	0.35	0.19 - 0.65
Hospital stay - days (median; IQR)	13 (10-20)	15 <i>(11-22)</i>	-	
	-			

Van der Gaag et al. N Engl J Med 2010;326:129



### **Overall survival of patients with pCCA 2000 - 2018**





- Resected 171 PHC-patients
- --- Resected other diagnosis
- Unresectable at laparotomy
- Unresectable at or after staging laparoscopy
- Initially unresectable

In 171 resected patients with proven pCCA: Median OS 46 months 5-year survival 36 %



Rassam et al. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2018;403:289, updated







### Systemic chemotherapy of cholangiocarcinoma

**1st line: Cisplatin-Gemcitabine** 





### Systemic chemotherapy of cholangiocarcinoma Mechanisms of chemoresistance

	MOC-1a	MOC-1b	MOC-2	MOC-3	MOC-4	MOC-5	MOC-6	MOC-7	MOC-8
мос	↓ Drug uptake	↑ Drug export	↓ Intracellular proportion of active drug	Altered drug targets	↑ DNA repair	↓ Apoptosis	↑ Survival	Changes in tumour environment	↑ Epithelial to mesenchymal transition
Genes	SLC29A1 SLC28A1 SLC31A1 SLC22A1	ABCB1 ABCC1 ABCC3	UMPS TYMP UPP1 GSTP1	TYMS ESR1 ESR2 EGFR	ERCC1 RAD51 MSX2/3/6 MLH1 PMS2 RRM2B	MET FAS TP53 BAX BAK1	BCL2 ERK AKT1	LAM	HMGA1
Proteins	↓ ENT1 ↓ CNT1 ↓ CTR1 ↓ OCT1	↑ MDR1 ↑ MRP1 ↑ MRP3	↓ UMPS ↓ TYMP ↓ UPP1 ↑ GSTP1	↑ TYMS ↓ ERα ↓ ERβ ↓ EGFR	↑ ERCC1 ↑ RAD51 ↑ MutS ↑ MutLa ↑ p53R2	↓ HGFR ↓ FAS ↓ p53 ↓ BCL2L4 ↓ BCL2L7	↑ BCL-2 ↑ ERK ↑ AKT	↑ Laminin	↑ HMGA1
Drugs	Gemcitabine 5-FU Cisplatin TKls	Many drugs	Gemcitabine 5-FU Cisplatin	5-FU Targeted drugs	Cisplatin Epirubicin Gemcitabine	Gemcitabine 5-FU	Cisplatin 5-FU Sorafenib	Doxorubicin Sorafenib	Gemcitabine

Poor response to chemotherapy

Banales et al. Nat Rev Gastroent & Hepatol 2020;17:557 (Consensus ENS-CCA), modified







## **Chemotherapy of cholangiocarcinoma**

Molecular profiling and targeting of actionable mutations/amplifications









## **Clinical management of cholangiocarcinoma**

- Surgical resection is a potential curative option for CCA
- Adjuvant chemotherapy with Capecitabine for 6 months after surgical resection is recommended
- Liver transplantation is a potentially curative option for iCCA and pCCA under strict limitations following national protocols
- Cisplatin-Gemcitabine is the standard of palliative care chemotherapy for patients with unresectable CCA
- FOLFOX can be recommended as 2<sup>nd</sup> line standard of palliative care chemotherapy
- Promising local and systemic therapeutic approaches are under development

